

Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, Vilnius, IMI, 1998, No 3

# APPROXIMATION BY MEAN OF THE FUNCTION GIVEN BY DIRICHLET SERIES BY ABSOLUTELY CONVERGENT DIRICHLET SERIES

**A. Laurinćikas**

Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, 2006 Vilnius, Lithuania

## Abstract

It is proved an uniform on compact sets approximation by mean of the general Dirichlet series.

Let  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable,  $\{\lambda_m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing sequence of real numbers such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m = +\infty$ , and let  $\{a_m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers ( $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all natural numbers). The series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{-\lambda_m s} \quad (1)$$

is called a Dirichlet series with coefficients  $a_m$  and exponents  $\lambda_m$ . It is well known that the region of the convergence as well as of the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series is a half-plane. Suppose that the series (1) converges absolutely, for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$  and denote its sum by  $f(s)$ . Then we have that  $f(s)$  is a regular function on the half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ .

Suppose that the function  $f(s)$  is analytically continuable to the region  $\sigma > \sigma_a - \sigma_0$ , where  $\sigma_0 > 0$ . Denote by  $B$  a number (not always the same) bounded by a constant. Let, for  $\sigma > \sigma_a - \sigma_0$ ,

$$f(s) = B|t|^a, \quad |t| \geq t_0, \quad (2)$$

with a certain constant  $a > 0$ , and

$$\int_0^T |f(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = BT, \quad T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

In the theory of Dirichlet series an approximation by mean of the function  $f(s)$  by absolutely convergent Dirichlet series plays an important role. This is done,

see, for example, [1], for ordinary Dirichlet series for which  $\lambda_m = \log m$ . The aim of this note is to obtain a result of a such kind for general Dirichlet series (1).

Let  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0$ . We define a function

$$l_n(s) = \frac{s}{\sigma_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\sigma_1}\right) e^{\lambda_n s}, \quad \sigma \in [-\sigma_1, \sigma_1].$$

Here, as usual,  $\Gamma(s)$  denotes the Euler gamma-function. We will consider, for  $\sigma > \sigma_a - \sigma_0$ , the following function

$$f_n(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} f(s+z) l_n(z) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

In view of the equality

$$|\Gamma(s)| = \sqrt{2\pi} |t|^{\sigma-1/2} e^{-\pi|t|/2} (1+B|t|^{-1}), \quad |t| \geq t_0,$$

and of the condition (2) we have that the integral for  $f_n(s)$  exists.

LEMMA. We have

$$f_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \exp \left\{ -e^{(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)\sigma_1} \right\} e^{-\lambda_m s},$$

the series being absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_a - \sigma_0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0$ , we see that  $\sigma + \sigma_1 > \sigma_a$ . Hence the function  $f(s+z)$  for  $\operatorname{Re} z = \sigma_1$  can be presented by the absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

$$f(s+z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{-\lambda_m(s+z)}.$$

Let

$$b_n(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} l_n(s) e^{-\lambda_m s} \frac{ds}{s},$$

and consider the series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n(m) e^{-\lambda_m s}. \quad (4)$$

In view of the estimate

$$b_n(m) = B e^{-\lambda_m \sigma_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |l_n(\sigma_1 + it)| dt = B e^{-\lambda_m \sigma_1}$$

the series (4) absolutely converges for  $\sigma > \sigma_a - \sigma_0$ . Therefore we may change sum and integral in the definition of  $f_n(s)$ . This gives

$$f_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{-\lambda_m s} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} l_n(z) e^{-\lambda_n z} \frac{dz}{z} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n(m) e^{-\lambda_m s}. \quad (5)$$

For positive  $b$  and  $c$  the following formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c - i\infty}^{c + i\infty} \Gamma(s) b^{-s} ds = e^{-b}$$

is true [2]. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} b_n(m) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} \frac{s}{\sigma_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\sigma_1}\right) e^{-(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)s} \frac{ds}{s} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1 - i\infty}^{\sigma_1 + i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\sigma_1}\right) e^{(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)(-s/\sigma_1)\sigma_1} d\left(\frac{s}{\sigma_1}\right) = \\ &= \exp\left\{-e^{(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)\sigma_1}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (5) proves the lemma.

Denote by  $D$  the half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_a - \sigma_0$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $D$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |f(s + i\tau) - f_n(s + i\tau)| d\tau = 0.$$

*Proof.* We begin with the change of the contour of integration in the definition of  $f_n(s)$ . Clearly, the integrand in the definition of  $f_n(s)$  has a simple pole at the point  $z = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\sigma_1 > 0$  be such that  $\sigma$  belongs to  $[\sigma_a - \sigma_0 + \varepsilon, \sigma_1]$  when  $s \in K$ . We take

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma_a - \sigma_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then the residue theorem yields for  $\sigma \in [\sigma_a - \sigma_0 + \varepsilon, \sigma_1]$

$$f_n(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_2 - i\infty}^{\sigma_2 - \sigma + i\infty} f(s + z) l_n(z) \frac{dz}{z} + f(s). \quad (6)$$

Let  $L$  be a simple closed contour lying in  $D$  and enclosing the set  $K$ , and let  $\delta$  denote the distance of  $L$  from the set  $K$ . Then by the Cauchy formula

$$f(s + i\tau) - f_n(s + i\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{f(z + i\tau) - f_n(z + i\tau) dz}{z - s},$$

where  $s \in K$ , we have

$$\sup_{s \in K} |f(s + i\tau) - f_n(s + i\tau)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\delta} \int_L |f(z + i\tau) - f_n(z + i\tau)| |dz|.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large  $T$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |f(s + i\tau) - f_n(s + i\tau)| d\tau = \\ & \frac{B}{T\delta} \int_L |dz| \int_0^{2T} |f(\operatorname{Re} z + i\tau) - f_n(\operatorname{Re} z + i\tau)| d\tau + \frac{B|L|}{T\delta} = \\ & \frac{B|L|}{T\delta} + \frac{B|L|}{T\delta} \sup_{s \in L} \int_0^{2T} |f(\sigma + it) - f_n(\sigma + it)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here  $|L|$  is the length of the contour  $L$ . Now we choose the contour  $L$  so that, for  $s \in L$ ,

$$\sigma \geq \sigma_a - \sigma_0 + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \delta \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

The formula (6) for such  $\sigma$  yields

$$f(\sigma + it) - f_n(\sigma + it) = B \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\sigma_2 + it + i\tau)| |l_n(\sigma_2 - \sigma + i\tau)| d\tau.$$

Hence, for the same  $\sigma$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \int_0^{2T} |f(\sigma + it) - f_n(\sigma + it)| dt = \\ & B \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |l_n(\sigma_2 - \sigma + i\tau)| \frac{1}{T} \int_{-|\tau|}^{|\tau|+2T} |f(\sigma_2 + it)| dt d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Taking into account the estimate (3), we obtain that

$$\int_{-|\tau|}^{|\tau|+2T} |f_n(\sigma_n+it)| dt \leq \left( \int_{-|\tau|}^{|\tau|+2T} |f_2(\sigma_2+it)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} (2T+2|\tau|)^{1/2} = B(2T+2|\tau|).$$

Thus, (8) implies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \sup_{\substack{\sigma \\ s \in L}} \int_0^{2T} |f(\sigma+it) - f_n(\sigma+it)| dt = \\ & B \sup_{\substack{\sigma \\ s \in L}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |l_n(\sigma_2 - \sigma + it)| \left(1 + \frac{|t|}{T}\right) dt = \\ & B \sup_{\sigma \in [-\sigma_1, -\varepsilon/4]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |l_n(\sigma+it)| (1 + |t|) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

However, the definition of  $l_n(s)$  gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\sigma \in [-\sigma_1, -\varepsilon/4]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |l_n(\sigma+it)| (1 + |t|) dt = 0.$$

This, (7) and (8) completes the proof of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Laurinćikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.
2. E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1980.